

From: Fred Foreman/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 6/21/2012 8:52:29 AM
To: "Cynthia Caporale" <Caporale.Cynthia@epamail.epa.gov>
CC: Colleen Walling; "costas.robin@epa.gov" <costas.robin@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Glycol Rejected Data

Cindy,

There is language in the validation report narrative explaining why the data was rejected. This language should be sufficient. If not, I'm not sure what else they would need.

Fred Foreman, Chief
Technical Services Branch
Office of Analytical Services & Quality Assurance
US EPA Region III
Ft. Meade, Maryland
410-305-2629

From: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
To: Colleen Walling/ESC/R3/USEPA/US, Fred Foreman/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: costas.robin@epa.gov
Date: 06/21/2012 07:36 AM
Subject: Glycol Rejected Data

Fred or Colleen,

The technical summary being prepared by the data team for Dimock needs a section on why the glycol data results were rejected. Do we have that summary already in one of ESAT's data validation reports? I need to submit this to the data team today.

Fred, this was the technical summary document I sent to you about two weeks ago and this is a section towards the end of the document. I'll forward you the document so you can see what I'm referring to. This version will have the comments from the HQ EU.

Thanks,
Cindy